** DRAFT LOGIC MODEL**

**FOR OHIO LOCAL PROGRAMS**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **GOAL/IMPACT** | **INPUTS/RESOURCES** | **ACTIVITIES** | **OUTPUTS** | **OUTCOMES** |
| **PROJECT SUMMARY** | * A visible, highly effective volunteer organization with the internal capacity and ability to serve the jurisdiction’s abused and neglected children/youth, providing the opportunity to succeed and thrive. | * Human capital; i.e. staff, volunteers board * Financial resources consisting of public/private with a diverse funding base * Strategic partnerships with Courts & community providers * Meet National CASA & Ohio CASA standards * Training based on NCASA curriculum & NCASA Model * Local/State/Federal law & Ohio CASA | * Training & capacity building for staff and volunteers * Follow NCASA standards of excellence with emphasis on quality assurance and ongoing reviews * Appropriate & ongoing presence in the community * Track data of children/youth served & volunteers to document program effectiveness * Provide culturally competent advocacy for children/youth served based on cultural makeup of location * Provide thorough reports to the court. Reports will assess children/youth current situation and request additional services if needed. | * Provision of quality advocacy to children/youth served moving toward achieving success * Essential data collected, managed, and reported * Public engagement, commitment & support of mission and vision | * Children youth served are safe from further abuse and neglect. * Children & youth served have achieved stable placements |
| **INDICATORS** | * Number of abused & neglected children/youth receiving quality advocacy from CASA Volunteers | * Qualified staff, well trained volunteers & active, engaged Board * Sustainability plan with potential funding sources * List of identified partners developed * Developed & approved local standards * Curriculum adapted to local program structure * Using evidence-based research findings on effectiveness * Laws incorporated in Bylaws & Memoranda of Understanding * Robust data manage-ment system in place | * Train facilitators & hold regular training sessions * Follow National CASA standards * Document # of opportunities to engage & educate the community on need for quality volunteers * Match community in ratio of diverse populations among staff, board, volunteers | * Documented best practice tools & techniques for training of volunteers/staff * Documented methods for data collection, management, verification, & reporting * Documented plan for public engagement in support of mission & vision * Increase in staff & volunteer retention rate | * % of children/youth served with NO recurrence of abuse & neglect * % of children/youth served who are in stable placements * # of child-well visits |
| **MEANS OF VERIFICATION** | * Number of abused & neglected children/youth receiving quality advocacy from CASA Volunteers | * Personnel policies & records * Volunteer policies & records * Program financial records * Local curriculum & training materials * Program reports & data collection * Bylaws | * Training data, personnel records, program records * Certificate of Compliance * Program plans, activity logs, data records * Program records & data | * Training curriculum, guidelines, evaluation, surveys * Campaign & advertising materials * % increase in volunteer inquiries & donations | * Program database * Program records & data * Service logs & surveys |